

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/835,439	04/17/2001	Pierre Glaesener	13201.00042	7938	
27160	7590 06/06/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP 525 WEST MONROE STREET			JOYCE, WILLIAM C		
	IL 60661-3693		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3682		
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/200	DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/835,439	GLAESENER ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William C. Joyce	3682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 17 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notation (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compart following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replicate of the final rejection. risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 0 y must be filed within e final rejection, whichever the final rejection.	ence, which CFR 41.31; or none of the er is later. In no			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further content (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).			
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,7-11 and 27-35.		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	<u>not</u> be entered is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ails to provide a (1).			

WILLIAM C. JOYCE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

13. Other: _____.

11. 🖾 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument with respect to the teachings of Zeidan and Haberlein are not persuasive because the flexible bearing body would inherently deform when subjected to a predetermine force so as to redirect the force from a perpherial edge of the body upper surface to a central force area of the body.